

**UAS Faculty Senate Meeting
March 4, 2004**

Present: Brian Blitz, Lynn Shepherd (and for Jennifer Brown), David Noon, Sherry Tamone, Ginny Mulle, Seon Chun, Chuck Craig, Jonathan Anderson, Victor Zinger (for Pricilla Schulte), Eve Dillingham, Joe Liddle

Minutes approved after amending them to remove Lynn Shepherd's name from the person responsible for developing the white pages for DE.

I. President's Report:

1. Faculty Senate President Elect: Nominations are now open. Nominations to Ginny by March 31. Nominees have until April 5th to remove their names from the list
2. BOR passed the following certificate programs (2); Drafting and Pre-radiology Technician certificates
3. BOR Policies/Regulations
 - a) Transfer of Credit Procedures were voted on and it was unanimous.
 - b) Residency Requirements: BOR taking making this policy a regulation. Faculty Senate unanimously agrees to this.
 - c) Academic Program Review: Removing this from regulations and putting this into policy. Purpose is to depoliticize the process since decisions are removed from the president and put into the hands of the BOR.
4. Common Start Dates for MAUs in order to make things more efficient.
Fall of 06 Monday August 28th
Date for January 2007 (TBA) January 17th is proposed
5. Outreach by researchers to extended campuses: Write a line into your grants to go give a seminar at outlying campuses
6. We approved appointment of distinction for faculty during the 1/21 meeting

II. Committee Reports:

1. Online student evaluation ad hoc committee – No report
- 2) 2. Scholarship of Teaching ad hoc committee - Lynn Shepherd still working on the matrix
3. Distance Education committee – Committee came up with 7 points to bring forward for recommendation through the UA committee (March 28, 29). These recommendations need to be forwarded to Susie Feero and others on UA committee.

4. Academic Information Services committee – Elise Tomlinson
(attachment #15)
5. Curriculum – We need someone to come forward to serve as chair of the curriculum committee
6. Plagiarism ad hoc committee – Have not met since last meeting on the forms
7. Working group on CC forms and process committee – Jonathan Anderson. The CC forms will be automated, they will be available for easy viewing, and centrally located. When does the Dean sign off on these forms? The Deans needs to see these forms early on in the process. All departments will be able to view these for discussions. Is there a need to discuss “seat time” Not according to accreditation reports. But better to look at “student involvement or engagement” 130 hours total for the semester. This could have implications on lab time (1 Cr for 3 hours seat time). Should this be 3 hours? engagement? These guidelines are for new courses.
8. Senate Committee G
 - a) Learning Center Advisory Board – Seon Chun
National Testing Center money increased
Revenue: Core services come out of General Funds (Math and Writing)
Created a premium fee in Arts and Sciences (\$15.00/class) This goes to Brendan who pays the LC the fee.

National Testing takes place each Saturday in the morning and there is profit associated with maintaining the testing center. But at these times the LC is not open for tutoring. Can time be reallocated to open some times on Saturday for students and less time on weekdays in the early morning hours? Presently it is not open on Sat to save money. Compared to last year, the LC is open less hours. Presently Joe and Vicki assemble the needs for LC

Committee set for the search for the new LC director
 - b) Advisor Task Force : Richard will have Committee G review documents

III. New Business

1. Technology Transfer Practices – Executive Summary – Lynn Shepherd
Concerns patents and agreements that resemble patents (these usually refer to tech transfer). Published works (course development) are copywrited whereas inventions are patentable. The tech transfer practices fold patents and copywrites into one mold which Lynn feels is worrisome. The committee for UA copyright has disbanded and there is no other forum (except perhaps governance or collective bargaining) to make changes. Lynn feels she is a lone voice since there is little faculty input. Work for hire means that

the employer owns your work. No rights of ownership. The university can claim sole ownership of course materials since they paid you while you prepared this. Lynn feels you need to unbundle tech transfer from copyrightables so that shared ownership. We do not want Lynn to feel she is a lone voice. Lynn wants the discussion to go through Governance.

2. Graduate Committee Policy changes – Jonathan Anderson (this is attachment #9) Met last year but there are no minutes to reflect this. Jonathan wants to be assured that these policy changes were approved last year (in May). Many faculty assured him this is so.

3. Faculty Development Seminar –Meeting this semester. Should we keep this format in place to maintain faculty training. Do we want to continue this? Feedback appears to favor this forum. Is someone interested in taking this on? Go back and ask departments.